

State of Tennessee

Department of State

Division of Business Services 312 Eighth Avenue North 6th Floor Wm. R. Snodgrass Tower Nashville, Tennessee 37243

3/7/2007

7114 5464 3550 0094 6750 Certified Number

File No:

<u>2537</u>

Company:

FORTIS INSURANCE COMPANY

Name:

Agent/POE:

501 W. MICHIGAN STREET

Address:

P.O.BOX 3050

MILWAUKEE, WI 53201-3050

Country:

RE:

SHARON ANNETTE WEST

VS:

FORTIS INSURANCE COMPANY

Notice of Service

The enclosed summons and attachments are hereby officially served upon you by the Office of the Tennessee Secretary of State pursuant to Tennessee Law. Please refer to the summons and attachments for details concerning the lawsuit filed against you. If you have any questions, please contact the clerk of the court which issued the summons. You can obtain the court's telephone number by calling information (area code) 555-1212. The name of the court and county where the court is located will be on the attached summons.

The summons will either tell you a court date and time at which you must appear to defend yourself or tell you the number of days from the day you are served within which you must file an answer upon the plaintiffs attorney. Failure to appear in court at the time specified or failure to answer the summons within the given time could result in a judgement by default being rendered against you for rellef sought in the lawsuit.

The Secretary of State's Office cannot give you legal advice. If you need legal advice, please consult a private attorney.

enclosures
Initia ADC
CC:

EXHIBIT
SS-4214 (Rev. 3/97)

Case 4:07-cv-00019 Document 1-1

Sincerely,
Riley C. Damell
Secretary of State

EXHIBIT
PADA 1003

Filled 04/06/07 Page 1 of 22 PageID #: <pageID>

STATE OF TENNESSEE CIRCUIT COURT OF WARREN COUNTY, TENNESSEE

SHARON ANNETTE WEST PLAINTIFF	FILED	CIVIL SUMMONS No. 2537
VS.	FEB. 2 8 2007	1.00. <u>2001</u>
FORTIS INSURANCE COMPANY 501 w. Michigan Street P.O. Box 3050 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201-3050 DEFENDANT To the above named defendant: FORT	BERNABETTE W. MOR CIRCUIT COURT CLE	CLERK DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL OF THIS INSTRUMENT FILED THIS COAY OF THIS INSTRUMENT FILED
attorney, whose address is Stanley & 37110, an answer to the complaint whafter service of this summons upon you answer with this court within five (5) of by default will be taken against you for	be Bratcher, 100 West nich is herewith served ou, exclusive of the day lays after answer is ma the relief demanded in Clerk of said Court, at	l upon you within thirty (30) days y of service, and file a copy of the de. If you fail to do so, judgement the complaint. office in McMinnville, Tennessee,
	ВҮ:	CLERK
Received this day of	, 2007.	DEPUTY-CLERK
		SHERIFF-DEPUTY SHERIFF
I hereby certify and return, that served this summons together with		
		SHERIFF-DEPUTY SHERIFF
THIS SUMMONS IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO	O RULE 4 OF THE TENNESS	GEE RULES OF CIVIL PRODEDURE.

2007 MAR - 5 PM 12: 26
SECTET ARY OF STATE

SECRETARY OF SIATE

AT MCMINNVILLE

SHARON ANNETTE WEST,

vs.

Plaintiff,

ı lanının,

FEB 2 8 2007

Case No.: <u>2537</u> HIRY DEMANDEI

FORTIS INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

BERNADETTE W. MORRIS CIRCUIT COURT CLERK

AMENDED COMPLAINT

Comes now the Plaintiff and would respectfully show unto this Honorable Court as follows:

- Plaintiff, SHARON ANNETTE WEST, is a citizen and resident of Warren County, Tennessee.
- Defendant, FORTIS INSURANCE COMPANY (hereinafter referred to as "FORTIS"), is a Wisconsin corporation that has conducted business in the State of Tennessee.
- 3. Defendant is subject to the jurisdiction of the courts of the state of Tennessee pursuant to the Tennessee long-arm statute, Tenn. Code Ann. § 20-2-214.
- 4. The events which give rise to this action occurred in Warren County, Tennessee, and thus venue is properly found in Warren County, Tennessee, pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 20-4-101, et seq.

JUBICAN Y LEFT STATE BO YRATSKOBS

SOUTHING -5 PHIS: 26

SECTION OF BUILDINGS PER DECEMBER OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE

A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL OF THIS INSTRUMENT FILED THIS COMMON THE ORIGINAL OF THIS INSTRUMENT FILED THIS COMMON THIS INSTRUMENT COUNTY OF THE COMMON THIS INSTRUMENT COUNTY OF THE COMMON THIS INSTRUMENT COUNTY OF THE COUNT

- 5. This cause of action arises out of the Defendant's intentional, fraudulent, malicious, and/or reckless bad faith denial of health insurance policy claims for examinations, procedures, and treatments received by Plaintiff.
- 6. Plaintiff had a health insurance policy with Defendant that was effective beginning January 1, 2005.
- 7. Beginning in May, 2005, Plaintiff underwent a series of examinations, procedures and treatments that ultimately resulted in surgery on or about July 12, 2005, while Plaintiff's insurance policy with Defendant was in effect.
- 8. Following the series of examinations, procedures and treatments performed on Plaintiff, Plaintiff's health care providers submitted claims to Defendant on behalf of Plaintiff relating to the health insurance policy in effect between Plaintiff and Defendant.
- 9. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant intentionally, fraudulently, maliciously, and/or recklessly denied in bad faith said claims submitted by Plaintiff's health care providers on behalf of the Plaintiff.
- 10. On or about August 22, 2005, Defendant, through its agent Mary Buggs, sent to Plaintiff a letter stating that "[d]uring the course of your recent claim for benefits under the above policy, we received information regarding Sharon West. This prompted a review of Sharon West's medical history and medical records were obtained from Dr. David Watlington."
- Defendant's letter dated August 22, 2005, further states that "[i]n reviewing these medical records we discovered medical information that was not disclosed on your application for insurance. As you know, at the time you applied for coverage, we asked you to complete an application/enrollment form. Sharon West's eligibility for insurance coverage with our company was based on the information included in the application/enrollment form. We found

misrepresentation on questions 18E and 18N1 of the application/enrollment form. Had our underwriting Department been aware of this medical history at the time the application/enrollment form was approved, Sharon West's eligibility for insurance coverage with our company would have been affected."

- 12. Defendant's letter dated August 22, 2005, also states: "[i]n light of this additional information, the following adverse action would have been required at the time that your policy was issued. We are enclosing a Special Exception Rider(s) that excludes coverage for the treatment and/or conditions listed on the attached rider(s) from the effective date of the policy. We are enclosing an Amendment of Application for an additional Special Class Premium of 25%, due to Sharon West's medical history of Sarafem use. This Special Class Premium will be added from the effective date of the policy. Additionally, the preferred rating class will be removed. Please enclose a check in the amount of \$843.93 to pay policy to October 1, 2005. This amount represents the back premium owed as a result of adding the Special Class Premium, and removing the preferred rating class. In addition, the new premium should be \$377.57."
- Defendant pertaining to the health insurance policy in question asks the applicant to respond yes or no as to whether a proposed insured has "within the past ten years had any diagnosis of, received treatment for, or consulted with a physician concerning mental disease or nervous disorder including but not limited to any emotional disorder, anxiety, depression, attention deficit disorder, eating disorder, or psychiatric treatment or counseling."
- 14. Plaintiff's response to Question 18E of the application/enrollment form for the health insurance policy in question was "no."

- 15. Neither Plaintiff nor any of Plaintiff's listed proposed insureds had ever received, at the time the completed application was submitted to Defendant, a diagnosis of, received treatment for, or consulted with a physician concerning mental disease or nervous disorder including but not limited to any emotional disorder, anxiety, depression, attention deficit disorder, eating disorder, or psychiatric treatment or counseling.
- Defendant pertaining to the health insurance policy in question asks the applicant to respond yes or no as to whether a proposed insured has "within the past ten years had any diagnosis of, received treatment for, or consulted with a physician concerning any disorder of the reproductive organs, including but not limited to disorders of penis, testes, vagina, ovaries and cervix, uterus, diagnosed or treated for infertility or irregular menstruation."
- 17. Plaintiff's response to Question 18N1 of the application/enrollment form for the health insurance policy in question was "no."
- 18. Neither Plaintiff nor any of Plaintiff's listed proposed insureds had ever received, at the time the completed application was submitted to Defendant, a diagnosis of, received treatment for, or consulted with a physician concerning any disorder of the reproductive organs, including but not limited to disorders of penis, testes, vagina, ovaries and cervix, uterus, diagnosed or treated for infertility or irregular menstruation.

WHEREFORE PREMISES CONSIDERED, PLAINTIFF PRAYS THAT:

- a. Proper process issue and be served upon the Defendant (through the Secretary of State) requiring them to appear and answer this Complaint within the time required by law but their oath to their answer is expressly waived;
- b. Plaintiff be awarded a judgment of compensatory damages against Defendant for not more than Forty Thousand (\$40,000.00) Dollars for breach of contract;

- c. Plaintiff be awarded a judgment of twenty-five (25%) percent of the compensatory damages as a penalty against Defendant for denying the claim in bad faith in accordance with state law;
- d. Plaintiff be awarded a judgment of punitive damages against Defendant for not more than Twenty-Four Thousand (\$24,000.00) Dollars;
- e. Plaintiff be awarded a judgment for any attorney's fees and discretionary costs allowable under the law as a result of having to file this action;
- f. Plaintiff be allowed to amend this Complaint to conform with the proof as it is developed throughout the course of this litigation;
 - g. That a jury be empaneled to try the issues in this cause; and
- h. Plaintiff be awarded all such other, further, and general relief to which she may show herself entitled upon a trial of this cause.

Respectfully submitted,

STANLEY & BRATCHER

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFE

Ricky L. Stacy, BPR #023032 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

STANLEY & BRATCHER 100 West Main Street P.O. Box 568 McMinnville, TN 37111 (931) 473-4922

COST BOND

WE HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE OURSELVES AS SURETIES FOR THE COST OF THIS CAUSE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF \$500.00.

STANLEY & BRATCHER

Y: /CMM B)

AT MCMINNVILLE

COMPLAINT				
Defendant.)			
PORTION INDUITATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO)			
FORTIS INSURANCE COMPAN	I I			
¥ 3.	CIRCUIT COURT CLERKRY	DEMANDED		
vs.	Time Initials	No.: 2537		
Plaintiff,	AUG 2)5 2006			
SHARON ANNETTE WEST,				

Comes now the Plaintiff and would respectfully show unto this Honorable Court as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff, SHARON ANNETTE WEST, is a citizen and resident of Warren County, Tennessee.
- Defendant, FORTIS INSURANCE COMPANY (hereinafter referred to as "FORTIS"), is a corporation authorized to conduct business in the State of Tennessee.
- 3. The events which give rise to this action occurred in Warren County, Tennessee, and thus venue is properly found in Warren County, Tennessee, pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 20-4-101, et seq.
- 4. This cause of action arises out of the Defendant's intentional, fraudulent, malicious, and/or reckless bad faith denial of health insurance policy claims for examinations, procedures, and treatments received by Plaintiff.

CLERK DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESES
A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE
ORIGINAL OF THIS INSTRUMENT FILED
THIS ZODAY OF FEDUCIAL 2007

Pearly May Am

WARREN COUNTY CLERK

- 5. Plaintiff had a health insurance policy with Defendant that was effective beginning January 1, 2005.
- 6. Beginning in May, 2005, Plaintiff underwent a series of examinations, procedures and treatments that ultimately resulted in surgery on or about July 12, 2005, while Plaintiff's insurance policy with Defendant was in effect.
- 7. Following the series of examinations, procedures and treatments performed on Plaintiff, Plaintiff's health care providers submitted claims to Defendant on behalf of Plaintiff relating to the health insurance policy in effect between Plaintiff and Defendant.
- 8. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant intentionally, fraudulently, maliciously, and/or recklessly denied in bad faith said claims submitted by Plaintiff's health care providers on behalf of the Plaintiff.
- 9. On or about August 22, 2005, Defendant, through its agent Mary Buggs, sent to Plaintiff a letter stating that "[d]uring the course of your recent claim for benefits under the above policy, we received information regarding Sharon West. This prompted a review of Sharon West's medical history and medical records were obtained from Dr. David Watlington."
- 10. Defendant's letter dated August 22, 2005, further states that "[i]n reviewing these medical records we discovered medical information that was not disclosed on your application for insurance. As you know, at the time you applied for coverage, we asked you to complete an application/enrollment form. Sharon West's eligibility for insurance coverage with our company was based on the information included in the application/enrollment form. We found misrepresentation on questions 18E and 18N1 of the application/enrollment form. Had our underwriting Department been aware of this medical history at the time the

application/enrollment form was approved, Sharon West's eligibility for insurance coverage with our company would have been affected."

- 11. Defendant's letter dated August 22, 2005, also states: "[i]n light of this additional information, the following adverse action would have been required at the time that your policy was issued. We are enclosing a Special Exception Rider(s) that excludes coverage for the treatment and/or conditions listed on the attached rider(s) from the effective date of the policy. We are enclosing an Amendment of Application for an additional Special Class Premium of 25%, due to Sharon West's medical history of Sarafem use. This Special Class Premium will be added from the effective date of the policy. Additionally, the preferred rating class will be removed. Please enclose a check in the amount of \$843.93 to pay policy to October 1, 2005. This amount represents the back premium owed as a result of adding the Special Class Premium, and removing the preferred rating class. In addition, the new premium should be \$377.57."
- Defendant pertaining to the health insurance policy in question asks the applicant to respond yes or no as to whether a proposed insured has "within the past ten years had any diagnosis of, received treatment for, or consulted with a physician concerning mental disease or nervous disorder including but not limited to any emotional disorder, anxiety, depression, attention deficit disorder, eating disorder, or psychiatric treatment or counseling."
- 13. Plaintiff's response to Question 18E of the application/enrollment form for the health insurance policy in question was "no."
- 14. Neither Plaintiff nor any of Plaintiff's listed proposed insureds had ever received, at the time the completed application was submitted to Defendant, a diagnosis of, received treatment for, or consulted with a physician concerning mental disease or nervous disorder

disorder, eating disorder, or psychiatric treatment or counseling.

- Defendant pertaining to the health insurance policy in question asks the applicant to respond yes or no as to whether a proposed insured has "within the past ten years had any diagnosis of, received treatment for, or consulted with a physician concerning any disorder of the reproductive organs, including but not limited to disorders of penis, testes, vagina, ovaries and cervix, uterus, diagnosed or treated for infertility or irregular menstruation."
- 16. Plaintiff's response to Question 18N1 of the application/enrollment form for the health insurance policy in question was "no."
- 17. Neither Plaintiff nor any of Plaintiff's listed proposed insureds had ever received, at the time the completed application was submitted to Defendant, a diagnosis of, received treatment for, or consulted with a physician concerning any disorder of the reproductive organs, including but not limited to disorders of penis, testes, vagina, ovaries and cervix, uterus, diagnosed or treated for infertility or irregular menstruation.

WHEREFORE PREMISES CONSIDERED, PLAINTIFF PRAYS THAT:

- a. Proper process issue and be served upon the Defendant (through the Commissioner of Insurance) requiring them to appear and answer this Complaint within the time required by law but their oath to their answer is expressly waived;
- b. Plaintiff be awarded a judgment of compensatory damages against Defendants for not more than Forty Thousand (\$40,000.00) Dollars for breach of contract;
- c. Plaintiff be awarded a judgment of twenty-five (25%) percent of the compensatory damages as a penalty against Defendant for denying the claim in bad faith in accordance with state law;

- d. Plaintiff be awarded a judgment of punitive damages against Defendant for not more than Three Hundred Fifty Thousand (\$350,000.00) Dollars;
- e. Plaintiff be awarded a judgment for any attorney's fees and discretionary costs allowable under the law as a result of having to file this action;
- f. Plaintiff be allowed to amend this Complaint to conform with the proof as it is developed throughout the course of this litigation;
 - g. That a jury be empaneled to try the issues in this cause; and
- h. Plaintiffs be awarded all such other, further, and general relief to which they may show themselves entitled upon a trial of this cause.

Respectfully submitted,

STANLEY & BRATCHER

BY: ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Ricky L. Stacy, BPR #023032 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

STANLEY & BRATCHER 100 West Main Street P.O. Box 568 McMinnville, TN 37111 (931) 473-4922

COST BOND

WE HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE OURSELVES AS SURETIES FOR THE COST OF THIS CAUSE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF \$500.00.

STANLEY & BRATCHER

BY: Ricky L. Stacy

AI MCMINNVILLE		ılıtı			
		<u> </u>			
SHARON ANNETTE WEST,)	ត ព ក ៤ 🗓			
	Ś	JAN 2 2 2007			
Plaintiff,)	BERNADETTE W. MORRIS			
vs.	ý	Case No.: 25 CUIT COURT CLERK JURY DEMANDED			
FORTIS INSURANCE COMPANY,)				
Defendant.	, j	era e e e			
MOTION TO AMEND					

Plaintiff SHARON ANNETTE WEST, by and through counsel and pursuant to Rule 15.01 of the Tennessee Rules of Civil Procedure, moves this Court for an Order allowing her to file an Amended Complaint in this action. As grounds for this motion, Plaintiff SHARON ANNETTE WEST would show this Court as follows:

- 1. The original Complaint was filed on August 25, 2006.
- 2. Service of process was attempted through the Tennessee Commissioner of Insurance.
- 3. The office of the Tennessee Commissioner of Insurance returned the service of process and original Complaint and indicated by letter that the Commissioner's office did not have the authority to accept service on behalf of FORTIS INSURANCE COMPANY.
- 4. Defendant as of the filing of this Motion to Amend had not been served with the original Complaint.

 THE UNDERSICATE CREATE THAT THAT THAT THAT THE A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL OF THIS INSTRUMENT FILED ORIGINAL OF THIS INSTRUMENT FILED THE COUNTY CLERK

BOT MAR -5 PH IZ: 24 SPERETARY OF STATE 5. Other material information has come to Plaintiff's attention since the filing of the original Complaint, which necessitates amending the original Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

STANLEY & BRATCHER

Y: CNA STA ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Ricky L. Stacy, BPR #023032 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

STANLEY & BRATCHER 100 West Main Street P.O. Box 568 McMinnville, TN 37111 (931) 473-4922

Notice of Hearing

It is anticipated that this matter will be called for hearing on February 13, 2007, at 9:00 a.m. or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

Ricky L. Stacy

AT MCMINNVILLE

ORDER						
Defendant.)		٠			
FORTIS INSURANCE COMPAN	BERNADETTE W. MORRIS CIRCUIT COURT CLERK					
vs.	t-islaida	e No.: <u>2537</u>				
Plaintiff,	BILLE I					
SHARON ANNETTE WEST,	ann ràn					

This cause came to be heard on the 27th day of February, 2007, before the Honorable Larry B. Stanley, Jr., Judge of and for the Circuit Court for Warren County, Tennessee, upon Plaintiff's Motion to Amend, statements of counsel, and the entire record as a whole, it is therefore,

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED as follows:

That Plaintiff SHARON WEST shall be allowed to amend her original

Complaint.

ENTER this the 27 day of hebrue

Case 4:07-cv-00019 Document 1-1 Filed 04/06/07

L THE UNDERSIGNED GROUT COM CLERK DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL OF THIS INSTRUMENT FILED THIS ZODAY OF FEBRUAR 2007

Page 19 of 22 PageID #: <pageID>

APPROVED FOR ENTRY:

Ricky L. Stacy, BPR #023032

Attorney for Plaintiff

STANLEY & BRATCHER

100 West Main Street

McMinnville, TN 37110

(931) 473-4922

PECEMED

2907 MAR -5 PH 12: 25

ALE / DARNELL SECRETARY OF STATE

DI MAR -5 PM 12: ZO
REET SY OF STATE



Division of Business Services 312 Eighth Avenue North Floor, William R. Snodgrass Tower Nashville, TN 37243 Department of State State of Tennessee



0529 h600 055E h9h5 hTTL



02 1A 0004329321

MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 37243 \$ 05.360 MAR 07 2007

CERTIFIED MAI

FORTIS INSURANCE COMPANY 501 W. MICHIGAN STREET P.O.BOX 3050 MILWAUKEE, WI 53201-3050

MCCIULING MAIL MAR 0 9 2007 RECEIVED 5